Introduction	Literature review	Methodology	Robustness checks	Conclusion
0000	00	0000	0000	000

Remittances and Financial Inclusion: What Do We Learn from African Countries?

Denera Atanguegnima

Université Clermont Auvergne, CNRS, CERDI, F-63000 Clermont-Ferrand, France

12/15/2022

	Literature review	Methodology	Empirical results	Robustness checks	Conclusion
0000	00	0000	0	0000	000

Introduction

- 2 Literature review
- 3 Methodology
- 4 Empirical results
- 5 Robustness checks
- 6 Conclusion

Introduction	Literature review	Methodology	Robustness checks	Conclusion
●000	00	0000	0000	000
Purpos	e and Defin	itions		

- This study empirically examines the impact of remittances on the level of financial inclusion in selected sub-Saharan African countries over the period 2004-2019.
- Financial inclusion refers to all initiatives that make financial services available, accessible, and affordable to all individuals in an economy.(see Sarma and Pais,2011). It goes beyond improving access to credit to access to savings and insurance products and access to financial education.
- Remittances are the personal transfers of emigrants received by countries of origin. These transfers include either cash or kind sent by migrants and individuals in the country of origin.

Introduction 0●00	Literature review 00	Methodology 0000	Robustness checks	Conclusion 000
Motivatio	ons (1)			

- Remittances have become a significant source of external financing for developing countries. They reached about USD 548 billion in 2019, exceeding ODA and FDI (WDI,2019).
- Particularly, in SSA Countries remittances accounts overall for 20 percent of GDP and represent the second most important source for external flows after exports, (WDI, 2019).

Introduction	Literature review	Methodology	Robustness checks	Conclusion
00●0	00	0000	0000	000
Motivati	ons (2)			

- In addition, following the adoption of the Millennium Development Goals in 2000 by the United Nations and the Sustainable Development Goals in 2015, which have considered financial inclusion as a key foundation for development, Sub-Saharan African governments, have been gradually prompted to work towards a more inclusive financial system.
- Furthermore, it has been recognized that remittances from migrants play an important development role where financial inclusion can be the transmission channel (Global Migration Group, 2017).
- In this context, analysing the link between remittances and financial inclusion would be a subject of particular interest.

Introduction 000●	Literature review 00	Methodology 0000	Robustness checks	Conclusion
Contribu	ition			

Our study is complementary to a literature that addresses the impact of remittances on economic development and its interactions with the financial inclusion. We will contribute to this literature by using other indicators of financial inclusion (MFIs indicators) that can provide more informations on the financial system in SSA Countries and can lead to a better interpretation of the impact of remittances on financial inclusion in those countries.

Literature review	Methodology	Empirical results	Robustness checks	Conclusion
•0				

Remittances and Financial inclusion (1)

- Recent developments in the literature have yielded few studies on the determinants of financial inclusion and its relationship with migrant remittances. On the theoretical level, this is insufficiently developed.
- Nevertheless, Anzoategui et al.(2014) theoretically identify two channels through which remittances can affect financial inclusion (revenue and investment).
- The empirical literature follows two strands :

Introduction 0000	Literature review ○●	Methodology 0000	Robustness checks	Conclusion 000

Remittances and financial inclusion (2)

• The first is the analysis of the direct effects of remittances on financial inclusion (microeconomic level). Most of the study conclude that remittances positively impact financial inclusion on the one hand and a negatively or neutral impact on the other. (see,(Gupta wt al.2009; Aggarwal et al., 2011; Demirgüç-Kunt et al., 2014; Ambrosius and Cuecuecha, 2016; Ajefu and Ogebe, 2019))

• The second (macroeconomic level) direction taken by the empirical literature is to analyze the effects of financial inclusion in the relation between remittances and economic growth. (see,Orozco and Fedewa, 2006; Nyamongo et al.,2012; Chuc et al.,2021).

Introduction 0000	Literature review 00	Methodology ●000	Robustness checks 0000	Conclusion
Data &	sources			

- Data were extracted from the Financial Access Survey (FAS) for the bank's financial inclusion indicators,
- MFIs financial inclusion indicators comes from the Microfinance Information Exchange, Inc., Market database (named MIX market),
- Drawing from the literature on the determinants of the supply and demand of financial services (see (Zeller, 1995; Pal, 2002; Gupta et al., 2009)), control variables such as gross domestic product per capita, TTotal population, level of education and trade openness were retained and we collected them from World Development Indicators (WDI) as remittances flows.

Introduction	Literature review	Methodology	Robustness checks	Conclusion
0000	00	○●○○	0000	000
Model				

• We investigate the impact of remittances on financial inclusion indicators using the following equation :

$$IFI_{i,t} = \theta_1 IFI_{i,t-1} + \beta_1 Rem_{i,t} + \beta_2 X_{i,t} + \alpha_i + \mu_t + \epsilon_{i,t}$$
(1)

- Where $IFI_{i,t}$ is a financial inclusion indicator (in this analysis, we mobilize eight financial inclusion indicators) in country i at period t. θ_1 is the coefficient of lagged financial inclusion indicator.
- Rem represents the share of migrant remittances reported to GDP in country i in period t. We are mainly interested in β_1 which is the coefficient of Rem.
- X is the vector of control variables. α_i and μ_t are the country and time fixed effects, and ε_{i,t} an error term.

Literature review	Methodology	Robustness checks	Conclusion
	0000		

Empirical strategy

A significant concern when estimating equation(1) is the potential endogeneity.

We have to take into account those main problems to estimate equation(1) consistently:

- U The error term incorporates unobserved country heterogeneities λ_i , inducing a bias of the omitted variables if correlated with the other explanatory variables.
 - At the same time, the relationship between remittances and financial inclusion may not be one of cause and effect but of reverse causality. (Coulibaly,2015).
 - This equation (1) could generate a dynamic endogeneity bias because of the presence of the lagged value of the financial inclusion indicator among the explanatory variables; it can be correlated with the error term.

★ ∃ ▶

Introduction	Literature review	Methodology	Robustness checks	Conclusion
0000	00	000●	0000	000

Empirical strategy

• We evaluate our dynamic panel model using the generalized moment method (GMM) estimator.

Our preferred estimator is the system-GMM.

It has been highlighted that the lagged values of variables in level as it is done with the difference-GMM estimator are sometimes imperfect instruments for variables in first differences

Literature review	Methodology	Empirical results	Robustness checks	Conclusion
		•		

Impact of remittances on financial inclusion : baseline results

	Dependent variable : Financial inclusion index							
	ATM	Agency	Deposits	Loans	MFIs	(Log)NAB	Loans MFIs	Deposits
Dep.var(lagged)	0.790***	0.670***	0.878***	0.858***	0.795***	0.612***	0.820***	0.406***
Remittances	0.018*	0.008	0.019	0.152*	0.056*** (0.009)	0.164*** (0.048)	0.060*** (0.007)	0.130** (0.058)
GDP_per_capita	0.323*** (0.057)	0.320** (0.130)	0.070 (0.067)	1.222 (1.452)	-0.101 (0.138)	0.172 (0.139)	0.001 (0.143)	0.352 (1.192)
Trade_openness	0.001	0.006*	0.000	-0.024	-0.002	0.004*	-0.000	-0.005
	(0.001)	(0.004)	(0.000)	(0.018)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.001)	(0.015)
Population (Log)	0.083*́	0.233	0.001	`0.696´	-0.103	`0.046´	0.147*	0.086
	(0.043)	(0.163)	(0.014)	(0.920)	(0.167)	(0.119)	(0.079)	(1.022)
Education	0.005*** (0.002)	0.007*** (0.003)	0.001 (0.001)	0.004 (0.012)	-0.007 (0.007)	0.002 (0.008)	0.008 (0.006)	0.020 (0.017)
Constant	-4.180***	-6.984*	-0.329	-17.597	3.675	1.400	-3.874**	-8.212
	(1.204)	(3.913)	(0.547)	(19.069)	(2.352)	(2.619)	(1.531)	(8.188)
Observations	256	294	287	342	263	261	289	206
Groups	26	27	26	29	25	27	25	25
Instruments	17	10	11	15	19	11	22	17
AR1 (p-value)	0.007	0.021	0.003	0.006	0.002	0.001	0.023	0.007
AR2 (p-valué)	0.612	0.198	0.334	0.182	0.272	0.232	0.232	0.236
Hansen (p-value)	0.241	0.326	0.900	0.460	0.217	0.529	0.675	0.437

Robust standard errors in parentheses *** $p_i0.01$, ** $p_i0.05$, * $p_i0.1$

(4) (5) (4) (5)

< □ > < @ >

Literature review	Methodology	Robustness checks	Conclusion
		0000	

Robustness checks : Adding more controls variables

[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] Dep.var(lagged) 0.786*** 0.981*** 0.866*** 0.968*** 0.781*** 0.821*** 0.772*** Memittances 0.001 -0.053* 0.003 -0.027 0.037*** 0.062*** 0.865***	[8] 0.731** (0.029) 0.125** (0.020) 0.071 (0.113) 0.061**
Dep.var(lagged) 0.786*** 0.981*** 0.866*** 0.968*** 0.781*** 0.821*** 0.772*** (0.028) (0.038) (0.091) (0.039) (0.059) (0.028) (0.035) Remittances 0.001 -0.053* 0.003 -0.027 0.037*** 0.062*** 0.865***	0.731** (0.029) 0.125** (0.020) 0.071 (0.113)
Remittances 0.001 -0.053* 0.003 -0.027 0.037*** 0.062*** 0.865***	0.125** (0.020) 0.071 (0.113)
(0.008) (0.030) (0.012) (0.093) (0.011) (0.009) (0.030)	0.071
GDP_Per_Capita 0.331*** -0.372** 0.008´ -0.623´ 0.246´ 0.222*** 0.087*** (0.063) (0.182) (0.068) (0.657) (0.421) (0.039) (0.012)	0 061**
Tradeopenness 0.006*** -0.001 0.001 -0.058*** 0.001 0.007 0.004 (0.001) (0.004) (0.001) (0.021) (0.002) (0.007) (0.005)	(0.011)
Population(Log) 0.244*** 0.326 0.023 -1.339*** -0.332 0.043 0.561** (0.043) (0.305) (0.031) (0.434) (0.245) (0.277) (0.275)	-1.627** (0.430)
Education 0.003 0.015** 0.000 -0.001 -0.002 -0.001 0.017** (0.002) (0.006) (0.001) (0.015) (0.004) (0.007) (0.008)	-0.002 (0.013)
Inflation -0.002 0.034*** 0.005 0.006 0.036** -0.040 -0.050** (0.006) (0.005) (0.012) (0.019) (0.016) (0.075) (0.021)	0.013 (0.018)
Financial_opneness 0.156 1.892*** 0.003 -0.821 0.372 -0.281** -0.108 (0.114) (0.587) (0.026) (0.947) (0.254) (0.129) (0.076)	-0.526** (0.126)
Corruption 0.226*** 0.376*** 0.049 0.529 0.034 -0.945* -1.071 (0.052) (0.111) (0.077) (1.453) (0.103) (0.562) (0.740)	0.085 (0.354)
Political_Stability 0.009 0.026 0.001 0.081 0.104*** 0.119 0.306 (0.038) (0.141) (0.020) (0.326) (0.033) (0.458) (0.211)	0.524* (0.271)
$\begin{array}{cccc} \text{Constant} & -6.2/8^{***} & -2.244 & -0.416 & 31.05/^{***} & 2.964^{*} & 0.324 & -10.385^{***} \\ (0.991) & (5.114) & (0.622) & (11.255) & (1.604) & (5.674) & (3.749) \end{array}$	17.249* (6.795)
Observations 235 257 242 388 321 255 281 Groups 22 24 23 27 26 22 24	202 21
Instruments 18 22 13 13 19 18 14	12
AR2 (p-value) 0.645 0.393 0.336 0.164 0.235 0.494 0.110 Hansen (p-value) 0.344 0.246 0.725 0.466 0.156 0.187 0.292	0.256
Robust standard errors in parentheses	

Denera Atanguegnima

Remittances and Financial Inclusion: What D

2/15/2022 14/20

Literature review	Methodology	Robustness checks	Conclusion
		0000	

Robustness checks : FDI and ODA

	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]
Dep.var(lagged)	0.806***	0.910^{***}	0.931^{***}	1.007***	0.885***	0.809***	0.602***	0.717***
Remittances	0.015**	-0.053*	0.025	0.144**	0.037***	0.185***	0.135***	0.179***
ODA	-0.006	0.001	-0.003	-0.099**	-0.014	-0.006	0.050*	-0.006
FDI	0.001	0.008*	-0.003** (0.001)	(0.044) -0.003 (0.019)	-0.004	-0.012**	0.012	0.023**
Constant	-6.278*** (0.991)	-2.244 (5.114)	-0.416 (0.622)	31.057*** (11.255)	2.964* (1.604)	0.324 (5.674)	-10.385*** (3.749)	17.249** (6.795)
Observations Control Groups Instruments AR1 (p-value) AR2 (p-value) Hansen (p-value)	218 Yes 23 17 0.045 0.615 0.344	255 Yes 24 19 0.000 0.393 0.246	248 Yes 23 13 0.008 0.336 0.725	305 Yes 27 19 0.085 0.164 0.466	321 Yes 24 13 0.002 0.126 0.691	281 Yes 25 13 0.017 0.469 0.826	255 Yes 22 14 0.024 0.319 0.927	213 Yes 19 12 0.087 0.239 0.360

Dependent variable : Financial inclusion index

Robust standard errors in parentheses *** pj0.01, ** pj0.05, * pj0.1

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

Literature review	Methodology	Robustness checks	Conclusion
		0000	

Robustness checks : Heterogeneity

	Dependent variable : Financial inclusion index								
	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]	
Dep.var (Lagged)	0.808***	0.816***	0.919***	0.939***	0.826***	0.535***	0.736***	0.602***	
Remittances	(0.013) 0.016**	(0.016) 0.012***	(0.047) 0.016	(0.023) 0.099***	(0.020) 0.027***	(0.099) 0.199***	(0.044) 0.071*	(0.085) 0.175***	
GDP_per_capita	(0.006) 0.354***	(0.005) 0.086	(0.014) 0.032	(0.023) 0.687	(0.010) -0.395***	(0.033) -0.365	(0.037) 0.365	(0.028) 0.363	
Trade Openness	(0.052)	(0.057) 0.133**	(0.027)	(0.713) -0.025***	(0.092)	(0.422)	(1.031)	(1.222) -0.030**	
Trade_openness	(0.039)	(0.053)	(0.000)	(0.004)	(0.082)	(0.002)	(0.010)	(0.014)	
Population (Log)	0.015	0.007	0.005	-0.401** (0.197)	0.181***	0.078	-1.604	-0.356 (0.761)	
Education	0.005***	0.005***	0.000	-0.009	0.001	0.006	0.047*	0.007	
Constant	(0.002) -3.157*** (0.849)	(0.001) -1.577** (0.786)	(0.000) -0.151 (0.335)	(0.019) 4.579 (5.277)	(0.001) 0.033 (0.943)	(0.014) 5.165 (3.199)	(0.027) 18.530* (10.931)	(0.008) 2.600 (5.811)	
Observations	182	214	207	262	214	144	199	115	
Groups	20	21	20	24	21	18	20	17	
Instruments	17	18	11	20	19	12	12	14	
AR1 (p-value)	0.03	0.015	0.014	0.039	0.004	0.036	0.043	0.032	
AR2 (p-value)	0.575	0.236	0.284	0.337	0.310	0.642	0.196	0.331	
Hansen (p-value)	0.328	0.269	0.589	0.453	0.549	0.784	0.369	0.482	

Robust standard errors in parentheses

*** pj0.01, ** pj0.05, * pj0.1

(3)

Image: A matrix

Literature review	Methodology	Robustness checks	Conclusion
		0000	

Transmissions Channels validity checks

_

		S	cenario 1		
		[Banks]	[Deposits]	[MFIs]	[Deposits]
De	ep. var (lagged)	0.909***	0.811*** (0.039)	0.924*** (0.064)	1.007*** (0.026)
Go	lp_per_capita	0.719*	0.099** (0.046)	0.471***	0.708***
In	vestment	0.993** (0.441)	0.004	0.010 (0.011)	0.580** (0.236)
GI	NI_coef.	-0.439 (0.515)	-0.004** (0.002)	-0.520*** (0.154)	-0.007 (0.010)
Ol Gr	oservations oups struments	279 26 14	272 25 19	305 25 13	235 20 18
A	R1 (p-value) R2 (p-value)	0.062 0.342	0.003	0.001	0.100
Ha	ansen (p-value)	0.247	0.984	0.007	0.628
_		S	cenario 2		
_		GDP_pe	er_capita	Investment	Gni_coef.
	Dep. var (lagged)	0.97	1*** 112)	0.798***	0.870***
_	Remittances	0.99 (0.1	0*** 776)	0.043 (0.091)	-0.010** (0.004)
	Observations 450 Groups 30		50 80	406 28	405 28
	AR1 (p-value) AR2 (p-value)	0.0	009 572	0.029 0.207	0.092 0.331
	riansen (p-value)	0.9	913	0.117	0.521

Denera Atanguegnima

→ E ● E • ○ Q ○ 12/15/2022 17 / 20

Introduction	Literature review	Methodology	Robustness checks	Conclusion
0000	00	0000	0000	●00
Conclusi	on (1)			

- This study analyzes the impact of remittances on financial inclusion in SSA countries over the period 2004-2019. In particular, we analyzed the impact of remittances on the level of financial inclusion by highlighting heterogeneities depending on the service provider (banks versus microfinance institutions).
- Overall, we find that remittances have a positive impact on financial inclusion in its various dimensions with amplified effects on the indicators of use and access of financial services with MFIs rather than those concerning banks.

Introduction 0000	Literature review 00	Methodology 0000	Robustness checks	Conclusion ○●○
Conclusio	on (2)			

- Our findings allow us to suggest significant recommendations.
 - To benefit from remittances, governments will need to implement a policy of attracting remittances to formal channels by removing barriers and reducing transaction costs.
 - In order to lead these remittances flows to productive sectors, government should established a regulatory environment that fosters the development of financial institutions which offer services adapted to the needs of the population, such as MFIs.

	Literature review	Methodology	Empirical results	Robustness checks	Conclusion
0000	00	0000	0	0000	000

Thank you for your attention