

#### Learning from Senegalese school farms in agroecology, which efficient practices can be advocated for newcomers? A comparative analysis.

Mireille De Graeuwe and Kevin Maréchal



# 1. INTRODUCTION



#### **AGRICULTURAL CHALLENGES**



#### AGROECOLOGY





Source: www.fao.org



#### **POINTS FOR CHANGE**



**Source: IPESS FOOD** 2016 – p. 67

#### **EDUCATION**





#### Farmer-to-farmer trainings

**2** types



#### **School farms**

Picture 's sources: <u>www.inter-reseaux.org</u> (left) <u>rethink.earth/</u> (right)

#### **EDUCATION**







# 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS



## **QUALITY OF TRAINING**





## **LOCALISATION AND SELECTION**





	- T
	A
Partnership with universities or colleges	
Partnership with peasant network	
Partnership with local authorities	
Spiritual dimension	Х
Ordinary training duration	< 1 year
Number of cohorts graduated	8
Level of training seniority	High
Good expertise level of all trainers	Х
Lectures	Participative
Access to data of former learners	
Ordinary Monthly fee (CFA)	< 25 000
Diploma	School
Starter kit	CFA



	Α	В
Partnership with universities or colleges		
Partnership with peasant network		
Partnership with local authorities		
Spiritual dimension	Х	
Ordinary training duration	< 1 year	2 years
Number of cohorts graduated	8	1
Level of training seniority	High	Middle
Good expertise level of all trainers	X	
Lectures	Participative	Ex cathedra
Access to data of former learners		х
Ordinary Monthly fee (CFA)	< 25 000	< 25 000
Diploma	School	National Level
Starter kit	CFA	



	School Farms			
	A	В	С	
Partnership with universities or colleges				
Partnership with peasant network				
Partnership with local authorities			х	
Spiritual dimension	Х			
Ordinary training duration	< 1 year	2 years	< 1 year	
Number of cohorts graduated	8	1	8	
Level of training seniority	High	Middle	High	
Good expertise level of all trainers	X			
Lectures	Participative	Ex cathedra	?	
Access to data of former learners		х		
Ordinary Monthly fee (CFA)	< 25 000	< 25 000	> 50 000	
Diploma	School	National Level	School	
Starter kit	CFA		Materials	



	School Farms			
	Α	В	С	D
Partnership with universities or colleges				х
Partnership with peasant network				х
Partnership with local authorities			Х	
Spiritual dimension	Х			
Ordinary training duration	< 1 year	2 years	< 1 year	2 years
Number of cohorts graduated	8	1	8	1
Level of training seniority	High	Middle	High	Low
Good expertise level of all trainers	Х			х
Lectures	Participative	Ex cathedra	?	Active
Access to data of former learners		х		х
Ordinary Monthly fee (CFA)	< 25 000	< 25 000	> 50 000	0
Diploma	School	National Level	School	School
Starter kit	CFA		Materials	



	School Farms			
	Α	В	С	D
Partnership with universities or colleges				х
Partnership with peasant network				Х
Partnership with local authorities			Х	
Spiritual dimension	Х			
Ordinary training duration	< 1 year	2 years	< 1 year	2 years
Number of cohorts graduated	8	1	8	1
Level of training seniority	High	Middle	High	Low
Good expertise level of all trainers	Х			х
Lectures	Participative	Ex cathedra	?	Active
Access to data of former learners		Х		X
Ordinary Monthly fee (CFA)	< 25 000	< 25 000	> 50 000	0
Diploma	School	National Level	School	School
Starter kit	CFA		Materials	



	School Farms			
	Α	В	С	D
Partnership with universities or colleges				х
Partnership with peasant network				Х
Partnership with local authorities			Х	
Spiritual dimension	Х			
Ordinary training duration	< 1 year	2 years	< 1 year	2 years
Number of cohorts graduated	8	1	8	1
Level of training seniority	High	Middle	High	Low
Good expertise level of all trainers	Х			х
Lectures	Participative	Ex cathedra	?	Active
Access to data of former learners		Х		х
Ordinary Monthly fee (CFA)	< 25 000	< 25 000	> 50 000	0
Diploma	School	National Level	School	School
Starter kit	CFA		Materials	









## DATA TREATMENT ANALYSIS





# **3. RESULTS**



	School Farms			
Indicators	Δ	В	С	D
Interesting	56%	77%	58%	100%
Acquisition of knowledge	33%	77%	54%	63%
Incomplete	0%	15%	4%	0%
Missing tools for practical				
lessons	0%	15%	0%	0%
Professional opportunity	0%	0%	17%	0%
Starter kit	0%	0%	4%	0%
Spiritual and self-development	33%	0%	0%	0%
Good living conditions	0%	15%	8%	0%
Group experience	22%	31%	13%	25%



	School Farms			
Indicators	A	В	С	D
Interesting	56%	77%	58%	100%
Acquisition of knowledge	33%	77%	54%	63%
Incomplete	0%	15%	4%	0%
Missing tools for practical				
lessons	0%	15%	0%	0%
Professional opportunity	0%	0%	17%	0%
Starter kit	0%	0%	4%	0%
Spiritual and self-development	33%	0%	0%	0%
Good living conditions	0%	15%	8%	0%
Group experience	22%	31%	13%	25%



	School Farms			
Indicators	Α	В	С	D
Interesting	56%	77%	58%	100%
Acquisition of knowledge	33%	77%	54%	63%
Incomplete	0%	15%	4%	0%
Missing tools for practical				
lessons	0%	15%	0%	0%
Professional opportunity	0%	0%	17%	0%
Starter kit	0%	0%	4%	0%
Spiritual and self-development	33%	0%	0%	0%
Good living conditions	0%	15%	8%	0%
Group experience	22%	31%	13%	25%



	School Farms			
Indicators	Α	В	С	D
Interesting	56%	77%	58%	100%
Acquisition of knowledge	33%	77%	54%	63%
Incomplete	0%	15%	4%	0%
Missing tools for practical				
lessons	0%	15%	0%	0%
Professional opportunity	0%	0%	17%	0%
Starter kit	0%	0%	4%	0%
Spiritual and self-development	33%	0%	0%	0%
Good living conditions	0%	15%	8%	0%
Group experience	22%	31%	13%	25%



## FEEDBACK ABOUT THE TRAINING

#### Lessons' satisfaction





#### FUNDAMENTAL KNOWLEDGE ACQUISITION

#### Agroecology's level of comprehension (Median)





#### FUNDAMENTAL KNOWLEDGE ACQUISITION

#### Number of agroecological practices mentioned (Median)





#### FUNDAMENTAL KNOWLEDGE ACQUISITION

#### Scores obtained in agroecology

Being a farmer

Standard of living

Level of education

School farm\*

Legend: \* < 0.05 (p-value)



## FUNDAMENTAL KNOWLEDGE ACQUISITION

#### Management score (on 10) by school farm





#### FUNDAMENTAL KNOWLEDGE ACQUISITION

Scores obtained in agroecology

Management score (on 10) by school farm





#### PROFESSIONALIZATION

#### Pourcentage of business installation's





#### PROFESSIONALIZATION

#### Agroecology's pathways (mean)





# 4. DISCUSSION

**4.** Discussion



#### **SCORES' LEVELS**



Francis et al. (2008) Transdisciplinary Research for a Sustainable Agriculture and Food Sector. **4.** Discussion



#### PROFESSIONALIZATION



#### Fares et al. (2012)

Agroecological transition, innovation and lock-in effects: The impact of the organizational design of supply chains.



# **5. CONCLUSION**

**5.** Conclusion



#### FARM D



**5.** Conclusion



#### What next?

